



Genetic Algorithms

Lecture held at School of CS & IT
The University of Nottingham
March 2006

by

Wilhelm Erben
HTWG Konstanz

wilhelm.erben@htwg-konstanz.de



- I Optimisation Problems
- II How Do Genetic Algorithms Work?
- III Mathematical Foundations
- IV The Travelling Salesman problem



- Davis, L. (ed.)
Handbook of Genetic Algorithms.
New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1996
- Goldberg, David E.
Genetic Algorithms in Search, Optimization, and Machine Learning.
Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1989
- Michalewicz, Zbigniew
Genetic Algorithms + Data Structures = Evolution Programs.
Berlin; Heidelberg; New York: Springer, 3rd edition 1997
- Falkenauer, Emanuel
Genetic Algorithms and Grouping Problems.
Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, 1998



Genetic Algorithms

John von Holland,
K. A. De Jong
(Univ. of Michigan, 1975)

Evolutionsstrategien

Ingo Rechenberg
Hans P. Schwefel
(Technical Univ. of Berlin, 1973)

Evolutionary Algorithms (since 1985)



Part I

Optimisation Problems



Travelling Salesman Problem

The travelling salesman must visit every city in his territory exactly once and then return back to the starting point.


Given the cost of travel between all cities, how should he plan his itinerary for minimum total cost?

Total Cost

$$f(x) = c(1;7) + c(7;8) + \dots + c(1;5)$$

Search Space

Given n cities, there are $\frac{1}{2} \cdot (n-1)!$ different routes.





Combinatorial Optimisation

Bin Packing Problem

optimal allocation of items to 'bins',
minimal number of bins

Vehicle Routing Problem

optimal routing,
minimal number of vehicles and idle runs

Task Allocation Problem

optimal allocation of tasks to parallel processors,
minimal execution time

Job-Shop Scheduling Problem

maximal machine utilisation,
minimal service time, minimal cost

VLSI Design Problem

optimal placing of logic modules,
minimal wire-length



- The **search space** S is the *finite* set of possible solutions.
- Each solution $x \in S$ is also called an **individual**.

-
- An **objective function**

$$f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$


must be defined.

- The objective is to maximize or minimize this function.




Objective Function

The objective function f is also called **profit function**, in case of maximization.




...or **cost function**, in case of minimization.





Fitness Function



In what follows,
we usually assume that ...

- ... the objective function has been mapped to a *nonnegative* function f .
In that case f is called **fitness function**,
and the value $f(x)$ is the **fitness of the individual** $x \in S$.
- we shall focus upon **maximizing** f ,
i. e. searching for an individual $x_{\max} \in S$ with **maximal fitness**.



- **Combinatorial Explosion**
The search space S is finite, but extremely large.
- The optimisation problem is **NP-hard**,
i.e. the time required to solve it is expected to increase *exponentially* with the size of the problem.

As a consequence,
an *exhaustive* search for the optimal solution cannot be performed
within reasonable time.



- Given a hard optimisation problem, it is often possible to find an efficient **heuristic approach**.
- For some hard problems **probabilistic operators**, which use random choices as a tool to guide the search, can be applied as well.

Such algorithms do *not* guarantee to find an optimal solution.

They may only find **near optimal solutions**.

These, however, may be acceptable for most applications.





Part II

How Do Genetic Algorithms Work?



The Paradigm







binary string (chromosome) composed of genes



- In classic genetic algorithms, binary strings of **fixed length m** are used.
- In order to be able to encode each solution of the search space S in a one-to-one way, the inequality

$$2^m \geq \text{card}(S)$$

must hold.



- Genetic algorithms work from a **population** P , i. e. a series of chromosomes.
- The **initial population** $P(0)$, the **first generation**, is created randomly.
- In an iterative process, **populations** $P(t)$ at generation t , ($t = 1, 2, \dots$) are constituted.




The constant population size is one of the **parameters** of a genetic algorithm.

Typical values are $N=20$, $N=50$, $N=100$, ...

In classic genetic algorithms, the **population size N** remains unchanged from one generation to the next.



Flowchart





- **Reproduction (Selection)**

Copy existing chromosomes, chosen at random, to the new population.

- **Recombination (Crossover)**

Create new chromosomes by recombining randomly chosen substrings from existing chromosomes.

- **Mutation**


Create a new chromosome from an existing one by performing small random changes.




Roulette Wheel Selection (fitness-proportional selection; stochastic sampling with replacement) is an instance of a reproduction operator:

sample population

No. i	fitness f_i	probability p_i
1	169	$169/1170 = 0.14$
2	576	$576/1170 = 0.49$
3	64	$64/1170 = 0.06$
4	361	$361/1170 = 0.31$
Total	1170	1,00



spin the weighted roulette wheel
N times



The **cross-over probability** p_c is another parameter of the genetic algorithm.

Typical values are between 60% and 90%.


- After reproduction, a specified percentage p_c of chromosomes in the **mating pool** $P'(t)$ is chosen at random.
- The selected chromosomes are mated at random, and each pair of **parents** undergoes a **crossover** operation, such as the following one




Recombination

A classical recombination operator is the **One-point Crossover**:

- Randomly select a **cross-over site** between 1 and $m-1$.
- Each **parent** is then split at this point into two fragments.
- **Offspring** are obtained by joining the non-corresponding fragments of each parent.





The **mutation probability** p_m is another parameter of the genetic algorithm.


Typical values are below 1%.

- After recombination, a specified percentage p_m of genes in the **pool** $P''(t)$ is chosen at random.
- A selected **parent** chromosome undergoes a **mutation** operation, such as the following one ...




The classical mutation operator is the **Bit-flip Mutation**:

- The value of the selected gene is simply inverted.



parent



offspring



Generational Replacement

In classical genetic algorithms,
the progeny
obtained by crossover or mutation
replaces the parent chromosomes.

Steady State Model

In each generation,
just a few chromosomes,
namely the worst ones,
are replaced by the progeny.




Part III


Mathematical Foundations




The schema




is a similarity template describing the following four similar binary strings:



- The **don't care symbol** * matches either a 0 or a 1 at its particular position.
- A schema with k don't care symbols represents a set of 2^k binary strings.



Search for Promising Patterns





Above-Average Schemata



Suppose, schema S represents good solutions.

population P(t)	fitness values	
0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0	40	40
0 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 0	30	30
1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	20	
1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	20	
1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0	30	
1 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 0	50	50
1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	20	

$$\frac{\bar{f}_S(t)}{\bar{f}(t)} = \frac{4}{3} > 1$$


Schema S is above average.

average fitness $\bar{f}(t) = \frac{210}{7} = 30$
whole population


$\bar{f}_S(t) = \frac{120}{3} = 40$
strings matched by schema S



Schemata Surviving Crossover



Schema S_1 survives the following **one-point crossover**.
Schema S_2 , however, would be destroyed!



Note:

In the example, the **defining length** of schema S_1 ,
i.e. the distance between its first and its last fixed position,
is relatively small: $\delta(S_1)=2$. But $\delta(S_2)=8$ is maximal!



Schemata Surviving Mutation


Binary string s



is matched by schemas S :



Schema S survives **bit-flip mutations**, if and only if the mutated genes do not belong to the fixed positions of schema S :



Note:

In the example, the **order of schema S** ,
i.e. its number of fixed positions,
is relatively small: $o(S) = 3$.



The Schema Theorem

John H. Holland proved this theorem for classic genetic algorithms, i.e. for GAs using

- roulette wheel selection,
- one-point crossover
- and bit-flip mutation.

$$\xi_S(t+1) \geq \xi_S(t) \cdot \frac{\bar{f}_S(t)}{\bar{f}(t)} \cdot \left(1 - p_c \cdot \frac{\delta(S)}{m-1} - o(S) \cdot p_m \right)$$

Schemata S with
above-average fitness,
short defining length
and low order,
receive an increasing expected number ξ_S of copies
in subsequent generations.



Building Block Hypothesis

" (.....) instead of building high-performance strings by trying every conceivable combination, we construct better and better strings from the best partial solutions of past samplings." *)

" Just as a child creates magnificent fortresses through the arrangement of simple blocks of wood, so does a genetic algorithm seek near optimal performance through the juxtaposition of building blocks." *)

Building Blocks:
short, low-order, above-average schemata

*) Goldberg 1989, p.41



The Principal of Minimal Alphabets

“Select the smallest alphabet that permits a natural expression of the problem.” *)

*) Goldberg 1989, p.80



Binary vs Nonbinary Codings

binary	decimal x	alphabet of cardinality 26	fitness $f(x)=x^2$
01101	13	N	169
11000	24	Y	576
01000	08	I	64
10011	19	T	361

Schema 11***
leads to good solutions

no coding similarities
to exploit

The binary alphabet offers
the maximum number of schemata
per bit of information of any coding.



"Natural" Data Structures

Nevertheless, ...

"Select the smallest alphabet
that permits a *natural* expression of the problem."
Goldberg 1989

"What should one do
when elements in the space to be searched
are most naturally represented by more complex data structures
such as arrays, trees, digraphs, etc.
Should one attempt to 'linearize' them into a string
representation ..."
De Jong 1985

"Adapt the Genetic Operators.
Create crossover and mutation operators for the new type of encoding
by analogy with bit string crossover and mutation operators.
Incorporate domain-based heuristics as operators as well. "
Davis 1991



Part IV
The Travelling Salesman
Problem



Travelling Salesman Problem

The travelling salesman must visit every city in his territory exactly once and then return back to the starting point.


Given the cost of travel between all cities, how should he plan his itinerary for minimum total cost?


Total Cost

$$f(x) = c(1;7) + c(7;8) + \dots + c(1;5)$$


Search Space

Given n cities, there are $\frac{1}{2} \cdot (n-1)!$ different routes.






Binary Representation




The routes could be represented as binary strings of length

$$n \cdot \lceil \log_2 n \rceil = 9 \cdot \lceil \log_2 9 \rceil = 9 \cdot 4 = 36$$






Problems with this Coding



One-point crossover or bitflip mutation
can produce
illegal chromosomes :






Constraints that must not be violated (**hard constraints**) can be implemented by imposing **penalties** on individuals that violate them.

Disadvantages

- In heavily constraint problems, one runs the risk of spending most time evaluating illegal chromosomes.
- If *high penalties* are imposed, premature convergence to legal but mediocre chromosomes is possible.
- If the penalties are *too moderate*, the GA may evolve illegal chromosomes that are rated better than those that do not violate the constraints.






Alternative:

- Look for the most natural expression of the problem.
- Create genetic operators that avoid building illegal chromosomes.

Path Representation






Swap Mutation

The following mutation operator is adapted to the path representation:

Select two cities at random ...




.... and swap their positions.






Also **Partially Matched Crossover (PMX)**
avoids building illegal chromosomes:




Select 2 crossing points at random.



Swap the segments between the 2 points
("matching section").

Fill further cities for which there is no conflict.



The matching section defines the mappings

$$1 \leftrightarrow 4, 8 \leftrightarrow 5, 7 \leftrightarrow 6 \text{ und } 6 \leftrightarrow 7.$$

Fill the ?-gaps accordingly.



CX-Crossover

And also **Cycle Crossover (CX)** is adapted to the path representation and produces only valid chromosomes.

parent



offspring



The parents define a one-to-one mapping (permutation):

$$1 \rightarrow 4, 2 \rightarrow 1, 3 \rightarrow 2 \dots$$

Select a city of the first parent at random, for instance city 4. The sequence

$$4 \rightarrow 8, 8 \rightarrow 3, 3 \rightarrow 2, 2 \rightarrow 1, 1 \rightarrow 4$$

is a **cycle** of this permutation.

Swap the segments belonging to this cycle. Leave the other cities unchanged.